

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/768,364	CURRO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kimberly K. McClelland	1734	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Kimberly K. McClelland.

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Jay Krebs.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 11 December 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Krebs agreed to examiner's amendment, which would overcome the prior art of record.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Kim McClelland

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)